

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | TION NO. FILING DATE  |            | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|-----------------|-----------------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/822,936      | 10/822,936 04/13/2004 |            | Douglas E. Thompson  | TDE 8950                | 4419             |  |
| 2147            | 7590                  | 09/22/2004 |                      | EXAM                    | EXAMINER         |  |
| GRACE J         | FISHEL                | VE         | ELDRED, JOHN W       |                         |                  |  |
| SUITE 220       |                       | VE         |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| ST. LOUIS       | , MO 631              | 46         |                      | 3644                    |                  |  |
|                 |                       |            |                      | DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004 | 1                |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  |  | VM_          |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--------------|--|--|--|--|
|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   | <b>K</b> / , |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | 10/822,936   | THOMPSON   |              |  |  |  |  |
| \ Onice Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |              |  |  |  |  |
| The MAN INC DATE of this communication   | J. Woodrow Eldred  | 3644   |              |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply   | n appears on the cover sheet wi  | in the correspondence add  | ress         |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | ION.  FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a resion.  In a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a statute, cause the application to become AB | eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this contained the cont |              |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on   | ·  |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑  | This action is non-final.  |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for a  | ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is   |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice ur  | nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D   | i. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |              |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction is  | thdrawn from consideration.  |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exa  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the cont | ☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar correction is required if the drawing.   | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>(s) is objected to. See 37 CFI   | • •          |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for  | iments have been received.<br>iments have been received in A<br>e priority documents have been<br>Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | opplication No received in this National S   | Stage        |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |  |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  |  | Summary (PTO-413)<br>s)/Mail Date  |              |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/929 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04132004.</li> </ol>  |  | nformal Patent Application (PTO-   | -152)        |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/822,936

Art Unit: 3644

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 6, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Callegari in view of Joder.

Callegari discloses a firearm support comprising a frame with a horizontal support, a vertical support, adjustable connection means on one end of the horizontal support to connect to a vehicle hitch, an adjustable firearm rest attached to the vertical support, and an adjustable seat attached to the horizontal support. See especially Figure 2. Callegari fails to disclose a hinge in the horizontal support. Joder teaches that it is known to employ a hinge in the horizontal support of a vehicle hitch mounted support frame, so that sections of the frame may pivot to a plurality of fixed positions. See especially Figure 2. Motivation to combine is the advantage of being able to change the geometry of the frame relative to the vehicle, for easier transportation, to take up less space, or the orient the frame to a desired direction. To employ the teachings of Joder on the firearm support of Callegari and have a hinge is considered to have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art.

3. Claims 2-5 and 8-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Callegari in view of Joder, as applied to claims 1, 6, and 7 above, and further in view of Navaez. Callegari fails to disclose the seat as being mounted on a tube, in particular at the hinge point, and being pivotably and vertically adjustable, or that the firearm rest has an angular adjustment means. Narvaez teaches that it is known to have a firearm support connected to a vehicle in which the seat is pivotably and vertically adjustable relative to its horizontal support, and that the firearm rest has an angular adjustment means. See

Application/Control Number: 10/822,936

Art Unit: 3644

especially Figure 2. Motivation to combine is the increased performance available with increased flexibility from the geometry of the firearm support system. To employ the teachings of Navaez and Joder on the support system of Callegari and have the claimed adjustable seat and firearm rest elements is considered to have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art.

Page 3

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hill et al, Buck, and Mueller (5,060,410) are cited as being of interest since they disclose firearm support systems.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Woodrow Eldred whose telephone number is 703-306-4151. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday, from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on 703-305-7421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

J. Woodrow Eldred

Art Unit 3644